

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,673	ı	07/16/2004	Satoshi Ohtsuka 2004-1069A		2287
513	7590	08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	•	ID & PONACK, L	MCNELIS, KATHLEEN A		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1742		
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astrono	10/501,673	OHTSUKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathleen A. McNelis	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MORE AND A STATE OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status	1					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Zob					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed of the certification for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b>.</b> □					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/501,673

Art Unit: 1742

The indicated allowability of claim 2 is withdrawn in view of the references, U.S.
 Pat. No. 4,963,200 and Novant Trionix. A rejection based on the newly cited references follows:

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,963,200) in view of www.novantchemcials.com.

Okuda et al. ('200) discloses a method of manufacturing an oxide dispersion strengthened ferritic steel (abstract) excellent in high-temperature creep strength (col. 2, lines 53-54) having a coarse grain structure, and method comprising mixing either element powders or alloy powders and a Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder (abstract), subjecting the mixed powder to mechanical alloying treatment, solidifying the resulting alloyed powder by hot extrusion, and subjecting the resulting extruded solidified material to final heat treatment involving heating to and holding at a temperature of not less than the Ac<sub>3</sub> transformation point and slow cooling at a rate of not more than a ferrite-forming critical rate to thereby manufacture an oxide dispersion strengthened ferritic steel which comprises, as expressed by % by weight, 0.05 to 0.25% C, 8.0 to 12.0% Cr, 0.1 to 4.0% W, 0.1 to 1.0% Ti, 0.1 to 0.5% Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (abstract and col. 3 lines 19-33) with the balance being Fe

Application/Control Number: 10/501,673

Art Unit: 1742

and unavoidable impurities and in which  $Y_2O_3$  particles are dispersed in the steel. '200 does not disclose the mechanical alloying treatment so that an excess oxygen content in the steel (a value obtained by subtracting an oxygen content in  $Y_2O_3$  from an oxygen content in steel) satisfies  $0.67-15-2.7C+0.45 > Ex.O>0.67-15-2.7C_35$ ; where Ex.O: excess oxygen content in steel; % by weight, Ti: Ti content in steel; % by weight C: C content in steel, % by weight. However, the article to Novant Trionix teaches that it is known that the addition of  $Fe_2O_3$  is used to improve durability and weather fastness or corrosion prevention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add  $Fe_2O_3$  to the composition of '200 in order to improve the corrosion resistance and durability of the composition. Although the amount of  $Fe_2O_3$  is not set forth, applicant has also not set forth the specific amount of  $Fe_2O_3$  needed.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen A. McNelis whose telephone number is 571-272-3554. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/501,673

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNICLOGY CENTER 1700